Candidacy Research Proposal (CRP) Outcome Form  
University of Tennessee-Knoxville Department of Chemistry

Student Name: ________________________________            Initial CRP Defense Date: ________

Instructions: The student will print out a copy of this form and bring it to their defense. The major professor must complete this form and submit it to the main office when the CRP process is complete in order for the student to receive credit for CHEM 603.

Outcome of Initial Candidacy Research Proposal Defense:
Pass _____ Remediation Required _____ Fail _____
Written Remediation Required _____ (see details below) Due Date: ________
   ____ Revision of complete proposal
   ____ Revision of specified sections of proposal
   ____ Additional document or proposal addendum

Written remediation details and feedback from the committee

Oral Remediation Required _____ (see details below) Due Date: ________
   ____ 2nd presentation of both slides and question/answer
   ____ 2nd presentation of slides only
   ____ 2nd presentation of question/answer only

Oral remediation details and feedback from the committee

Description of any Other Required Remediation Due Date: ________

Final CRP decision:   Pass ___   Fail ___               Final CRP decision date: ________
Committee signatures:
Major Professor:  Print: __________________________  Sign: __________________________
In Division Member Print: __________________________  Sign: __________________________
Out of Division Member Print: _________________________   Sign: __________________________
Out of Department Member Print: __________________________  Sign: __________________________
Candidacy Research Proposal Scoring Form

Student Name: ________________________________            Initial CRP Defense Date: ________

Instructions: The student will print out a copy of this form and bring it to their defense. The major professor must complete this form and submit it to the main office for the student to receive credit for CHEM 603. Committee members may complete separate scoring sheets, but scores must be compiled on one CRP scoring form. Only the initial CRP defense will be scored (not remediation).

Scoring scale: All categories will be graded on the following scale. Scores may be provided at half point intervals.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>UN</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unable to judge</td>
<td>Significantly below expectations</td>
<td>Somewhat below expectations</td>
<td>Meets expectations</td>
<td>Outstanding</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Grading categories, explanations and score sheet

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criteria:</th>
<th>Major Professor</th>
<th>In-division</th>
<th>Out of division</th>
<th>Out of department</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Understanding and Application of Chemistry concepts (Understanding of both fundamental chemistry concepts and project-specific information; knowledge of relevant background literature)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Professionalism and Clarity of Written Document (Clarity of project background, goals and future proposed studies; Conformity with proposal format and requirements; Writing quality)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Professionalism and Clarity of the Oral Presentation (Clarity of the information presented; Quality of slides; Speaking skills; Interaction with audience)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Quality and Extent of Research Results (Productivity of the student in the laboratory, Ability to present, interpret and explain results)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Originality of Research Ideas (Novelty of the research project, Student’s intellectual contribution to the project, understanding of how the project fits into a broader context)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>