

**Original Research Proposal (ORP) Outcome Form
University of Tennessee-Knoxville Department of Chemistry**

Student Name: _____

Initial ORP Defense Date: _____

Instructions: The student will print out a copy of this form and bring it to their defense. The major professor must complete this form and submit it to the main office when the ORP process is complete in order for the student to receive credit for CHEM 604.

Outcome of Initial Original Research Proposal Defense:

Pass _____ Remediation Required _____

Fail _____

Written Remediation Required _____ (see details below)

Due Date: _____

____ Revision of complete proposal

____ Revision of specified sections of proposal

____ Additional document or proposal addendum

Written remediation details and feedback from the committee

Oral Remediation Required _____ (see details below)

Due Date: _____

____ 2nd presentation of both slides and question/answer

____ 2nd presentation of slides only

____ 2nd presentation of question/answer only

Oral remediation details and feedback from the committee

Description of any Other Required Remediation

Due Date: _____

Final ORP decision: Pass _____ Fail _____

Final ORP decision date: _____

Committee signatures:

Major Professor: Print: _____ Sign: _____

In Division Member Print: _____ Sign: _____

Out of Division Member Print: _____ Sign: _____

Out of Department Member Print: _____ Sign: _____

Original Research Proposal Scoring Form

Student Name: _____

Initial ORP Defense Date: _____

Instructions: The student will print out a copy of this form and bring it to their defense. The major professor must complete this form and submit it to the main office for the student to receive credit for CHEM 604. Committee members may complete separate scoring sheets, but scores must be compiled on one ORP scoring form. Only the initial ORP defense will be scored (not remediation).

Scoring scale: All categories will be graded on the following scale. Scores may be provided at half point intervals.

UN Unable to judge	1 Significantly below expectations	2 Somewhat below expectations	3 Meets expectations	4 Outstanding
------------------------------	--	---	--------------------------------	-------------------------

Grading categories, explanations and score sheet

Criteria:	Major Professor	In-division	Out of division	Out of department
1. Understanding and Application of Chemistry concepts (Understanding of both fundamental chemistry concepts and project-specific information; knowledge of relevant background literature)				
2. Professionalism and Clarity of Written Document (Clarity of project background, goals and future proposed studies; Conformity with proposal format and requirements; Writing quality)				
3. Professionalism and Clarity of the Oral Presentation (Clarity of the information presented; Quality of slides; Speaking skills; Interaction with audience)				
4. Originality of Research Ideas (Novelty of the research project, Student's intellectual contribution to the project, understanding of how the project fits into a broader context)				